UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,495	01/09/2007	Hiroki Matsuyama	925-341	1716	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/18/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER			
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	WAITS, ALAN B			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,495	MATSUYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ALAN B. WAITS	3656					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	 owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	r i 0/5b/06) Paper No(\$)						
/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656	/Alan B Waits/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since the amendments are merely corrections of typos, the rejection would be applied in the same way it was applied in the Final action dated May 12, 2009.

Applicant argues that Straub provides no teaching or suggestion that there should be any type of relationship between the physical values recited in claim 17. The examiner respectfully disagrees and points to Straub col 1, lines 50-60. The prior art teaches that space is created for the lubricant and lubricatin flow to provide lubrication for the entire life of the bearing. Furthermore, the specific relationship is clearly satisfied by Fig 19 of Straub, which shows the ratio of the total space taken up by the bearings along the pitch circle to be less than 85% of the total pitch circle. Applicant is correct that Straub does not teach the use of tapered rollers, however, Nunotani does.